

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,401	03/18/2004 Robert W. Ratte		5844	7377
44341 7 JACOBSON & .	590 04/26/200 IOHNSON	EXAMINER		
ONE WEST WA	ATER STREET, SUIT	ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND		
ST. PAUL, MN 55107			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DA	AYS	04/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/804,401	RATTE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Raymond Alejandro	1745			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		th the correspondence address			
	• •	/ IO OFT TO EVOIDE				
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	arch 2004.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
(closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.		·			
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	·			
`	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
0)[Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	_	` ,			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
. '') L'	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
· —	All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
·	application from the International Bureau		received in this National Stage			
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of		received.			
			•			
Attachment(s)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application			
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a die cast battery terminal, classified in class 429, subclass178.
 - II. Claims 6-21, drawn to methods of making battery parts, classified in class 164, subclass 47.
 - III. Claims 22-27, drawn to a lead or lead alloy battery part, classified in class 204, subclass 279.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For instance, the processes recited in any one of independent claims 6, 9 and 19 can be used to make the invention of Group I (i.e. the battery terminal). In addition, the battery terminal can be made by a cold-forming process as shown in the Background of the Invention.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Art Unit: 1745

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination of Group I (i.e. the battery terminal itself including the connecting lug, the base and the central axis) does not require the particulars of the subcombination of Group III as evidenced by the specific limitations of subcombination Group III which are not necessary in Group I. Thus, the limitations of subcombination of Group III are not essential for the patentability of Group I. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing a lead/lead alloy part suitable for interconnection or as an electrical connecting member.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

4. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For instance, the processes recited in any one of independent claims 6, 9 and 19 can be used to make the invention

Art Unit: 1745

of Group III (i.e. the lead/leady alloy battery part). In addition, the battery part can be made by a cold-forming process as shown in the Background of the Invention.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Carl L. Johnson on 04/23/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Art Unit: 1745

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (571) 272-1282. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 am - 6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1745

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

> RAYMOND ALEJANDRO PRIMARY EXAMINER